

1 Online SSL

- Complete `online_ssl_update_centroids` using the pseudocode 1.
- Complete `online_ssl_compute_solution` following the pseudocode 2

Algorithm 1 Incremental k -centers (simplified)

```
1: Input: an unlabeled  $x_t$ , a list of centroids  $C_{t-1}$ , a list of multiplicities  $v_{t-1}$ , taboo
   list  $b$  containing the labeled centroids.
2: if ( $|C_{t-1}| = k$ ) then
3:    $c_1, c_2 \leftarrow$  two closest centroids such that at least one of them is not in  $b$ .
4:   // Decide which centroid is  $c_{\text{rep}}$ , that will represent both  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , and which
   centroid is  $c_{\text{add}}$ , that will represent the new point  $x_t$ .
5:   if  $c_1$  in  $b$  then
6:      $c_{\text{rep}} \leftarrow c_1$ 
7:      $c_{\text{add}} \leftarrow c_2$ 
8:   else if  $c_2$  in  $b$  then
9:      $c_{\text{rep}} \leftarrow c_2$ 
10:     $c_{\text{add}} \leftarrow c_1$ 
11:  else if  $v_{t-1}(c_2) \leq v_{t-1}(c_1)$  then
12:     $c_{\text{rep}} \leftarrow c_1$ 
13:     $c_{\text{add}} \leftarrow c_2$ 
14:  else
15:     $c_{\text{rep}} \leftarrow c_2$ 
16:     $c_{\text{add}} \leftarrow c_1$ 
17:  end if
18:   $v_t \leftarrow v_{t-1}$ 
19:   $v_t(c_{\text{rep}}) \leftarrow v_t(c_{\text{rep}}) + v_t(c_{\text{add}})$ 
20:   $c_{\text{add}} \leftarrow x_t$ 
21:   $v_t(c_{\text{add}}) = 1$ 
22: else
23:   $C_t \leftarrow C_{t-1}.\text{append}(x_t)$ 
24:   $v_t \leftarrow v_{t-1}.\text{append}(1)$ 
25: end if
```

Algorithm 2 Online HFS with Graph Quantization

```
1: Input:  $t$ , a list of centroids  $C_t$ , a list of multiplicities  $v_t$  and labels  $y$ .
2:  $V \leftarrow \text{diag}(v_t)$ 
3:  $[\widetilde{W}_q]_{ij} \leftarrow$  weight between centroids  $i$  and  $j$ .
4: Compute the Laplacian  $L$  of the graph represented by  $W_q = V\widetilde{W}_qV$ 
5: // Infer labels using hard-HFS.
6:  $\widehat{y}_t \leftarrow \text{hardHFS}(L, y)$ 
7: // Remark: with the preceding construction of the centroids,  $x_t$  is always
   present in the reduced graph and does not share the centroid with any other
   node.
```

Some practical considerations:

- The labeled nodes are fundamentally different from unlabeled ones. Because of this, it is always a good idea to keep them separate, and never merge them in a centroid. In the implementation this is accomplished with a taboo list b that keeps track of nodes that cannot be merged together.
- In streaming applications, it is not always possible to stop execution to partition the centroids, and it is often preferable to pay a small price at every step to keep execution smooth. In our case, the centroids are updated at every step.
- Whenever a new node arrives, and we have too many centroids, we choose the two closest centroids c_{add} and c_{rep} . c_{add} will forget the old centroid and will point to the new sample that just arrived, and c_{rep} will take care of representing all nodes that belonged to c_{add} .

References

- [1] Moses CHARIKAR, Chandra CHEKURI, Tomas FEDER, and Rajeev MOTWANI. Incremental clustering and dynamic information retrieval. *SIAM journal on computing*, 33(6):1417–1440, 2004.